

**Caste-war statement of appointments made by
Heads of Departments.**

321. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state a list of (caste-war statement) appointments made by each of the Heads of Departments in 1109?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—A statement containing the available information is appended. (*Appendix II.*)

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—May I know why there are only a few from among the Muslims?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—That question can only be answered if individual instances the Member has in mind are mentioned.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—How many applicants there were from among the Muslims?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I have not got the figures.

Mr. P. S. Kesavan Nambudiri.—May I know whether the list is exhaustive? Some departments are seen omitted.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—It is already noted that it is only the available information so far obtained.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—It is seen that some sub-divisions of Christians like Jacobites, Roman Catholics, etc., are given. Are the Government aware that there are sub-divisions among the Muslims like Shaffis, Sunnis, Arabis, Moppilas and the like? (*Laughter.*)

Mr. Paul Mampilli.—There are 17 appointments in the Public Health Department. Are all these appointments fresh appointments?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Every appointment is fresh in the sense in which the Member means.

Mr. Paul Mampilli.—What I mean is that in certain departments there are certain acting hands. There could not have been any acting hand in this department. So what I want to know is whether all these hands were newly recruited to the service.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There may have been people who were acting in other departments and thrown out but may have been entertained here. It is not necessary that persons should have acted in the same department.

Mr. Paul Mampilli.—Have the Government any information to show whether they are all fresh hands or not.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I have no information.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—May I know the number given against each department takes in the names of

persons who were thrown out and re-entertained or only those who were newly entertained.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—This includes all those who were entertained by the Head of the Department.

Mr. K. Ayyappan.—Do these numbers refer to the persons appointed or to the number of times the appointments were made?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Both together.

Mr. K. Ayyappan.—I have to bring one matter to the notice of the President. I had given notice of a question some three months back for information on a matter like this. Those questions are not yet answered.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Sir, it is going to be answered to-morrow.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—My enquiry was whether the number shown here is the total of persons re-entertained and of those newly entertained or whether it shows only one of the two.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I do not exactly follow the question—the point of difference so far as the merits of the question are concerned. I should think it includes first appointment and re-appointment of the same person after he was thrown out.

Poromboke lands given to Depressed Classes.

322. മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. പി. വള്ളോൻ.—മുകുന്ദപുരം താലൂക്ക് റവന്യൂ മുറിയാട്ട് ഭേദം അയച്ചു എന്ന് പേരായ ഒരു അധികൃതൻ സംരക്ഷണവർകൾ അയച്ചു തിരിച്ചുകൊടുത്തുള്ള പുറമ്പോക്കസ്ഥലത്തു് അനുവാദംകൂടാതെ പ്രവേശിച്ചു എന്ന കുറ്റത്തിന് റവന്യൂ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റിൽനിന്നും നോട്ടീസ് അയക്കുകയോ മറ്റൊരു ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ; ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അതിനുള്ള കാരണമെന്തു്?

രാമവർമ്മതമ്പുരാൻ.—ടി അയച്ചു അധികൃതഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റിൽനിന്നും സ്ഥലം തിരിച്ചുകൊടുത്തിട്ടില്ല.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. പി. വള്ളോൻ.—വേറെ വല്ല അധികൃതർക്കും സംരക്ഷണ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റിൽനിന്നും സ്ഥലം തിരിച്ചുകൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

രാമവർമ്മതമ്പുരാൻ.—സംരക്ഷണ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റിൽനിന്നും ആർക്കും അങ്ങിനെ തിരിച്ചുകൊടുക്കാറില്ല.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. കുമാരനെടുത്തുണ്ണി.—അയച്ചു എന്ന ആർക്കു് റവന്യൂ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റിൽനിന്നും നോട്ടീസയക്കുകയുണ്ടായോ?

രാമവർമ്മതമ്പുരാൻ.—നോട്ടീസുവേണം. അന്വേഷിച്ചു വേണം പറയുവാൻ.

COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

[10th August 1934]

7. *Improvements to Cherlai Canal.*—The probable cost is shown below:—
 Land acquisition charges at Rs. 4,000 for 3 acres Rs. 12,000
 Cost of improvements 8,000
 Cost of 4 bridges to be constructed 16,000

Total cost Rs. 36,000

8. *Public markets.*

- Land acquisition charges Rs. 10,000
 Construction of buildings 5,000

Total Rs. 15,000

9. *Improvements to extension area.*

- The probable cost for acquiring sites for roads, lanes and canals Rs. 20,000

10. *Play grounds and open spaces.*

- Two play grounds and one recreation ground land acquisition charges at Rs. 4,000 per acre for three acres Rs. 12,000

11. *Grazing grounds.*

- Land acquisition charges for sites at Rs. 4,000 per acre for two acres Rs. 8,000

The total cost for items 6 to 11 will come to Rs. 1,24,000. I request that the Diwan will be pleased to sanction payment of a loan of Rs. 1,25,000 repayable at the rate of Rs. 5,000 per year.

Items 1 to 6 may either be done by Government or amounts provided under Government contribution so as to enable the Municipality to do the works. The file relating to Town Improvement Scheme prepared in 1933 is also herewith forwarded as per personal orders of the Diwan for favour of perusal and return.

(True copy)

APPENDIX II.

Caste-war statement of appointments made by the Heads of Departments in 1909

EXCISE DEPARTMENT.

1. Nayars	5
2. Ezhuvas	7
3. Barber	1
4. Chalia or Pattarya	1
5. Veluthedan	1
6. Valan	1
7. Pulaya	1
8. Kanakan	2
9. Christian i. Romo Syrians	5
ii. Latin	3
iii. Jacobites	2
iv. Anglican	1
10. Muslim	2
Total	32

(1) — 1/2

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

THE STATE MUSEUM.

Nayars	3
Non-caste Hindus	3
Christians	1

Total 7

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Nayars	9
Ezhuvas	6
Others	6
Christians	7
Muslims	3

Total 31

MAGISTERIAL DEPARTMENT.

Brahmins	2
Saraswatha Brahmins	1
Nayars	1
Vanian	1-5
Ezhuvas	6-6
Roman Catholic Syrian	6-6
Muslims	1-1

Total 18

FOREST DEPARTMENT.

Hindu (Brahmin)	1
do (Nayan)	4
do (Ezhuva)	1
do (Veluthadan)	1
do (Blacksmith)	1
do (Pulayan)	1
Kadan	1
Malayan	1
Christians	2
Muslim	1

Total 14

TRICHUR DISTRICT AND SUBORDINATE COURTS.

Nayars	3
Ezhuvas	1

4

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OFFICE.

Caste Hindu	1
Latin Christians	1

2

GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE'S OFFICE.

Hindu—Ambalvasi	1
Christian	2

3

COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

[10th August 1934]

TRAMWAY DEPARTMENT.

Hindu (Brahmin)	4
Hindu (Nayar)	7
Christians	4
	15

MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

Hindu - Brahmin	1
Do Nayars	4
Non-caste Do Thiyya	4
Do Veluthedan	1
Do Vala	1
	11

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Caste Hindus	7
Non-caste Hindus	4
Christians	4
	15

LABOUR DEPARTMENT.

Ullada	1
Ezhuthassan	1
	2

CHIEF COURT.

Hindus	Brahmin	1
	Nayar	1
	Ezhuva	1
	Others	2
Christians	Roman Catholic (Syrian)	1
		6

ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT.

Christian	1
Nayar	1
Ezhuthassans	2
Brahmin	1
	5

PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT.

Hindus	Brahmins	4
	Nayars	9
	Ezhuvas	3
	Ezhuthassan	1
	Pulaya	1
Christians	Roman Catholic Syrian	7
	Do Latin	1
	Jacobite	1
Muslim		1
		28

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ANJIKAIMAL DISTRICT AND SUBORDINATE COURTS

Ezhuva	1
Nayar	1
Brahmin	1

3

CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Ezhuva	2
Nayar	2
Brahmin	2
Goldsmith	1

7

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

Caste Hindus	16
Non-caste Hindus	7
Christians	6

29

PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT.


Hindus	Brahmins	5
	Nayars	10
	Ezhuvas	2

17

ANCHAL DEPARTMENT.

Brahmins	2
Nayars	7
Christians	12
Ezhuvas	10
Other castes	6

37



XI-II.